IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Civil Application No.3200 of 2006
In
Writ Petition No.4474 of 2005

(Pankan s/o Umashankar Dixit and another v. State of Maharashtra and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri D.S. Wasnik, Advocate for Applicant/Respondent No. 6.
Shri M. Anilkumar, Advocate for Petitioners.
Smt. A.R. Taywade, AGP for Respondents No.1 to 4.
Shri S.M. Puranik, Advocate for Respondent No.8.
Shri D.L. Dharmadhikari, Advocate for Respondent No.9.

Coram : A.H. Joshi & R.C. Chavan, JJ.
Dated : 22nd August, 2007

1. We find that the averments contained in the application showing the involvement of respondent No.6 in para 27 of the petition are factually not supported by any document. Nevertheless, we are of the view that the presence of respondent No.6 would be definitely useful for assisting the Court in hearing and disposal of the petition.

2. We, therefore, reject the civil application.

JUDGE **JUDGE.**

Pdl.